A Toolbox for Pregroup Grammars Une boîte à outils pour développer et utiliser les grammaires de prégroupe

Denis Béchet (Univ. Nantes & LINA)

Annie Foret (Univ. Rennes 1 & IRISA)

Denis.Bechet@univ-nantes.fr http://www.sciences.univ-nantes.fr/info/perso/permanents/bechet

Annie.Foret@irisa.fr, http://www.irisa.fr/prive/foret

Overview

- Grammatical formalism : pregroups
- A pregroup ToolBox : principles and illustrations



- Majority (partial) composition and parsing
- Grammar construction

PPQ demo

Grammatical Formalism

Pregroup grammars (PG in short) [Lambek 99]:

- a simplification of Lambek Calculus [1958]
- used to describe the syntax of natural languages
- Extensions [LATA 2008]: we have extended the pregroup calculus with two type constructors that PG are not able to naturally define:
 - * for iteration simple types
 - for optional simple types

and preserve nice properties of PG.

Pregroup : definitions

A pregroup $(T, \leq, \cdot, l, r, 1)$ s. t. $(T, \leq, \cdot, 1)$ is a partially ordered monoid ^a in which l, r are unary operations on T that satisfy:

 $a^{l}.a \leq 1 \leq a.a^{l}$ and $a.a^{r} \leq 1 \leq a^{r}.a$ (PRE)

or equivalently:

 $a.b \le c \Leftrightarrow a \le c.b^l \Leftrightarrow b \le a^r.c$

Pregroup : definitions

A pregroup $(T, \leq, \cdot, l, r, 1)$ s. t. $(T, \leq, \cdot, 1)$ is a partially ordered monoid ^a in which l, r are unary operations on T that satisfy:

$$a^{l}.a \leq 1 \leq a.a^{l}$$
 and $a.a^{r} \leq 1 \leq a^{r}.a$ (PRE)

or equivalently: $a.b \le c \Leftrightarrow a \le c.b^l \Leftrightarrow b \le a^r.c$ Some equations follow from the def. $a^{rl} = a = a^{lr}$ but not, in general: $a^{rr} \ne a \ne a^{ll}$ Iterated adjoints: $\dots a^{(-2)} = a^{ll}, a^{(-1)} = a^l, a^{(0)} = a, a^{(1)} = a^r, a^{(2)} = a^{rr} \dots$

а

Pregroup : definitions

A pregroup $(T, \leq, \cdot, l, r, 1)$ s. t. $(T, \leq, \cdot, 1)$ is a partially ordered monoid ^a in which l, r are unary operations on T that satisfy:

$$a^{l}.a \leq 1 \leq a.a^{l}$$
 and $a.a^{r} \leq 1 \leq a^{r}.a$ (PRE)

or equivalently: $a.b \leq c \Leftrightarrow a \leq c.b^l \Leftrightarrow b \leq a^r.c$ Some equations follow from the def. $a^{rl} = a = a^{lr-b}$ but not, in general: $a^{rr} \neq a \neq a^{ll}$ Iterated adjoints: $\dots a^{(-2)} = a^{ll}, a^{(-1)} = a^l, a^{(0)} = a, a^{(1)} = a^r, a^{(2)} = a^{rr} \dots$ ^aA partially ordered monoid is a monoid $(M, \cdot, 1)$ with a partial order \leq s. t. $\forall a, b, c: a \leq b \Rightarrow c \cdot a \leq c \cdot b$ and $a \cdot c \leq b \cdot c$.^bwe also have: $(a.b)^r = b^r.a^r$, $(a.b)^l = b^l.a^l$, $1^r = 1 = 1^l$

Free pregroup

Let (P, \leq) be an ordered set of atomic types, Types $T_{(P,\leq)} = \{p_1^{(i_1)} \cdots p_n^{(i_n)} \mid 0 \le k \le n, p_k \in P \text{ and } i_k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ the empty sequence is denoted by 1. For X and $Y \in T_{(P,<)}$ $X \leq Y$ iff this relation is deductible in the following system where $p, q \in P$ $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $X, Y, Z \in T_{(P, \leq)}$: $\frac{X \leq Y \quad Y \leq Z}{X < Z} \left(Cut \right)$ $X \leq X$ (Id) $\frac{XY \leq Z}{Xq^{(n)}q^{(n+1)}Y < Z} (A_L) \quad \frac{X \leq YZ}{X < Yq^{(n+1)}q^{(n)}Z} (A_R)$ $\frac{Xp^{(k)}Y \le Z}{Xa^{(k)}Y < Z} (IND_L) \qquad \frac{X \le Yq^{(k)}Z}{X < Yp^{(k)}Z} (IND_R)$ $q \leq p$ if k is even, and $p \leq q$ if k is odd

A Toolbox for Pregroup Grammars - p. 5/??

Pregroup grammar

Let (P, \leq) be a finite partially ordered set.

A pregroup grammar based on (P, ≤) is a lexicalized^a
 grammar G = (Σ, I, s) such that

•
$$s \in T_{(P,\leq)}$$
 ;

- *G* assigns a type *X* to a string v_1, \ldots, v_n of Σ^* iff for $1 \le i \le n$, $\exists X_i \in I(v_i)$ such that $X_1 \cdots X_n \le X$ in the free pregroup $T_{(P,\le)}$.
- The language $\mathcal{L}(G)$ is the set of strings in Σ^* that are assigned s by G.

^aa lexicalized grammar is a triple (Σ, I, s) : Σ is a finite alphabet, I assigns a finite set of categories (or types) to each $c \in \Sigma$, s is a category (or type) associated to correct sentences.

Our example is taken from Lambek, with the atomic types:

$$\pi_2$$
 = second person,
 p_2 = past participle,
 o = object,
 q = yes-or-no question,
 q' = question

This sentence gets type q' ($q' \leq s$):

whom have you seen $\underline{q'}o^{ll}q^{l}$ $qp_{2}^{l}\pi_{2}^{l}$ π_{2} $p_{2}o^{l}$

 $q' \le s$

 $q \leq q'$

Our example is taken from Lambek, with the atomic types:

$$\pi_2$$
 = second person,
 p_2 = past participle,
 o = object,
 q = yes-or-no question,
 q' = question

 $q \leq q'$

This sentence gets type q' ($q' \leq s$):

whom have you seen $\underline{q'o^{ll}q^l}$ $\underline{qp_2^l\pi_2^l}$ π_2 $p_2 o^l$

Our example is taken from Lambek, with the atomic types:

$$\pi_2$$
 = second person,
 p_2 = past participle,
 o = object,
 q = yes-or-no question,
 q' = question

 $q \leq q'$

This sentence gets type q' ($q' \leq s$):

whom have you seen $\underline{q'o^{ll}q^{l}}$ $qp_{2}^{l}\pi_{2}^{l}$ π_{2} $p_{2}o^{l}$

Our example is taken from Lambek, with the atomic types:

$$\pi_2$$
 = second person,
 p_2 = past participle,
 o = object,
 q = yes-or-no question,
 q' = question

 $q \leq q'$

This sentence gets type q' ($q' \leq s$):

whom have you seen $\underline{q'o^{ll}q^l \ qp_2^l \pi_2^l \ \pi_2 \ p_2 \ o^l}$

Partial Composition

9 [C] (partial composition) : for $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

 $\Gamma, Xp_1^{(n_1)} \cdots p_k^{(n_k)}, q_k^{(n_k+1)} \cdots q_1^{(n_1+1)}Y, \Delta \xrightarrow{C} \Gamma, XY, \Delta$

if $p_i \leq q_i$ and n_i is even or if $q_i \leq p_i$ and n_i is odd, for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Example :

$$\Gamma, \left[\begin{array}{c} q'o^{ll}q^{l}, qp_{2}^{l}\pi_{2}^{l} \end{array}^{[1]}, \Delta \xrightarrow{C} \Gamma, q'o^{ll}p_{2}^{l}\pi_{2}^{l}, \Delta \end{array} \right]$$

Majority (Partial) Composition

A partial composition $\stackrel{C}{\longrightarrow}$ is a *majority partial composition* $(\stackrel{@}{\longrightarrow})$ if the width of the result is not greater than the maximum widths of the arguments

A partial composition that is not a majority composition :

$$\Gamma, \left[\begin{array}{c} q'o^{ll}q^l, qp_2^l \pi_2^l \\ \end{array} \right]^{[1]}, \Delta \xrightarrow{C} \Gamma, q'o^{ll}p_2^l \pi_2^l, \Delta$$

A majority composition :

$$\Gamma, \left[\begin{array}{c} q'o^{ll}q^{l}, qo^{l}\pi_{2}^{l} \end{array} \right]^{[2]}, \Delta \xrightarrow{@} \Gamma, q'\pi_{2}^{l}, \Delta$$

Parsing using Majority Composition

Parsing of "whom have you seen ?"

whom have you seen $q'o^{ll}q^{l}$ $qp_{2}^{l}\pi_{2}^{l}$ π_{2} $p_{2}o^{l}$



 $(q' \leq s)$

- 1. Types for words 2. Add types
 - whom $\mapsto \{q'o^{ll}q^{l}\}$ for wordshave $\mapsto \{qp_{2}^{l}\pi_{2}^{l}\}$ with GCON you $\mapsto \{\pi_{2}\}$ seen $\mapsto \{p_{2}o^{l}\}$
- with $\stackrel{GCONC^+}{\longrightarrow}$

- 3. Rec. Calculus of types per segment, with $\xrightarrow{\mathbb{Q}}$
- 4. Test wether the sentence has atomic type s or $x \leq s$

- 1. Types for words 2. Add types
 - whom $\mapsto \{q'o^{ll}q^l\}$ for wordshave $\mapsto \{qp_2^l \pi_2^l\}$ withyou $\mapsto \{\pi_2\}$ inothingseen $\mapsto \{p_2o^l\}$
- with $\stackrel{GCONC^+}{\longrightarrow}$

- 3. Rec. Calculus of types per segment, with $\xrightarrow{\mathbb{Q}}$
- 4. Test wether the sentence has atomic type s or $x \leq s$

- 1. Types for words 2. + types to words with $\xrightarrow{GCONC^+}$
- 3. Rec. Calculus of types per segment, with $\stackrel{@}{\longrightarrow}$



4. Test wether the sentence has atomic type s or $x \leq s$

- 1. Types for words 2. + types to words with $\xrightarrow{GCONC^+}$
- 3. Rec. Calculus of types per segment, with $\stackrel{@}{\longrightarrow}$

▶ Length = 1: $| whom | have you | seen | {q'o^{ll}q^l} | {qp_2^l π_2^l} | {π_2} | {p_2o^l} | {p_2o^l} | {p_2o^l} | {qp_2^l π_2^l} | {qp_2^l π_2^l} | {qp_2^l η_2^l} | {qp_$ • Length = 3: whom have you have you seen $\{q'o^{ll}p_2^l\}$ $\{ao^l\}$ • Length = 4: whom have you seen $\{q' \text{ and } q'o^{ll}o^l\}$

4. Test wether the sentence has atomic type $s \text{ or } x \leq s$: $q' \in \text{ and } q' \leq s$

PG with ? and * : proposal

Weakening

$$\frac{XY \le Z}{Xp^{*^{(2k+1)}}Y \le Z} (* - W_L)$$

$$\frac{X \le YZ}{X \le Yp^{*^{(2k)}}Z} \left(* - W_R\right)$$

Contraction

$$\frac{Xp^{*^{(2k+1)}}p^{(2k+1)}Y \le Z}{Xp^{*^{(2k+1)}}Y \le Z} (*-C_L) \qquad \frac{X \le Yp^{(2k)}p^{*^{(2k)}}Z}{X \le Yp^{*^{(2k)}}Z} (*-C_R)$$

$$\frac{Xp^{(2k+1)}p^{*^{(2k+1)}}Y \le Z}{Xp^{*^{(2k+1)}}Y \le Z} (* - C'_L) \qquad \frac{X \le Yp^{*^{(2k)}}p^{(2k)}Z}{X \le Yp^{*^{(2k)}}Z} (* - C'_R)$$

PG with ? and * : properties

Property.[Optional and Iterated Basic Types] For *a*, a basic type:

$$a^*a \le a^*$$

$$a \le a^? \qquad aa^* \le a^*$$

$$1 \le a^? \qquad 1 \le a^*$$

- Theorem. The extended calculus defines a pregroup that extends the free pregroup based on (P, \leq) .
- Theorem.[The Cut Elimination] The cut rule can be eliminated in the extended calculus: every derivable inequality has a cut-free derivation.
- Property.[Decidability]
 The provability of $X \leq Y$ in this system is decidable

PPQ - overview



PPQ - grammar files

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<grammar>
 <pregroup>
  <order inf="n" sup="n-bar"/>
 </pregroup>
 <sentence type="s"/>
 <lexicon>
  <w><word>whom</word>
     <type><simple atom="q'"/>
           <simple atom="o" exponent="-2"/>
           <simple atom="q" exponent="-1"/>
     </type>
  </w>
 </lexicon>
```

</grammar>

Lefff 2.5.5: 534753 entries \implies SQLite database lexicon.

PPQ - majority composition

PPQ - net calculus

[FR: Now, when he took back her, he ought to enter]

PPQ can output an XML representation of nets if the result must be used by another program

PPQ - net simplification

becomes

[FR: Now, when he took back her, he ought to enter]

Grammar construction

Diagram : construction of PG grammars (with or without "macro-types") and use of CAMELIS

Grammar construction

Diagrams : around Lefff towards PG grammars (via "macro-types")

Conclusion

Parser using majority composition – a tool for experiments:

- Learning Categorial Grammars (Learnability of Pregroup Grammars [Studia Logica 87(2/3) 2007])
- Allow parsing that follows a partial tree (XML input) and can label subparts of sentences (like named entities)
- To test different ideas, including :
 - extensions of pregroups (*, ?) [LATA 2008]
 - "long distant dependencies" [To appear 2009]
 - different type assignment styles and languages
 - pregroup net sorting and filtering
 - **9** ...

Small demo...